Dominion Energy Utah 333 South State Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84145 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 45360, Salt Lake City, UT 84145 DominionEnergy.com



April 3, 2019

Chris Parker Utah Division of Public Utilities Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor P. O. Box 146751 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6751

Re:

Replacement Infrastructure Annual Plan and Budget, Fourth Quarter Variance Report Docket No. 17-057-25

Dear Chris,

Questar Gas Company dba Dominion Energy (Dominion Energy or the Company) respectfully submits this report and its accompanying exhibit to the Utah Division of Public Utilities (Division) in accordance with the Report and Order issued February 21, 2014 in Docket No. 13-057-05 (Order). In the Order, the Utah Public Service Commission (Commission) approved a Partial Settlement Stipulation (attached to the Order as Appendix A). Paragraph 22(C) of the Partial Settlement Stipulation indicates that the Company will file quarterly variance reports showing the actual expenditures in the infrastructure replacement program, as compared to the budget, and describing any material variances from the most current replacement schedule. This report replaces the report that was filed on March 25, 2019. That report inadvertently excluded some costs related to the High Pressure (HP) portion of the program.

On November 15, 2017, Dominion Energy submitted a Replacement Infrastructure Annual Plan and Budget in Docket No. 17-057-25 (2018 Budget) replacement plan to the Commission. The 2018 Budget included a budget for high pressure feeder line (HP) and intermediate high pressure belt main (IHP) replacement projects during 2018.

The attached Exhibit 1 provides budgeted amounts for both HP and IHP projects to be replaced in 2018, as well as the amount spent for each replacement project through December 31, 2018. Column B of Exhibit 1 shows the budget by project. Column C shows the costs through the fourth quarter. Column D shows the variance for 2018 for each of these projects.

The Company will continue to provide quarterly feederline progress updates on an ongoing basis. If you or your staff has additional questions concerning these reports, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kell Mendenhall

Director

Regulatory and Pricing

cc: Public Service Commission Office of Consumer Services

## 2018 Infrastructure Replacement Budget

For the Fourth Quarter Ending December 31, 2018

	Α	В	С	D
	Feeder Line	2018 Budget	Actual Costs as of December 31, 2018	Variance (Over) / Under
	High Pressure			
1	FL21	\$45,650,000	\$36,849,820	\$8,800,180
2	FL23	\$4,500,000	\$9,888,209	(\$5,388,209) 1/
3	FL35*	320,000	\$311,049	8,951
4	FL51	500,000	\$5,465,958	(4,965,958) 2/
5	Total High Pressure	\$50,970,000	\$52,515,035	(\$1,545,035)
	Intermediate High Pressure			
6	Salt Lake County Total	\$7,500,000	\$3,987,229	\$3,512,771 3/
7	Utah County Total	750,000	\$1,247,673	(497,673) 4/
8	Davis County Total	4,100,000	\$5,425,355	(1,325,355) 5/
9	Total Intermediate High Pressure	\$12,350,000	\$10,660,257	\$1,689,743
10	Pre-engineering (Future Projects)	\$550,000	\$204,266	\$345,734
11	Total	\$63,870,000	\$63,379,559	\$490,441

- 1/ Due to issues with the permitting and completion of FL21 work, funding was utilized on this project resulting in greater than projected costs.
- 2/ Due to delays, approximately 9,744 feet of the 15,100 foot pipeline were installed in 2017, with the rest expected to be completed in 2018. The primary cause of this overage was a result of a change of scope that moved the running line from in the dirt (off the road and shoulder) into the roadway. The change in running line caused us to shift from using no-cost native soils as backfill to using flow fill and slurry backfills to achieve the required compaction for roadway construction and traffic. Constructing in the road also required the addition of milling, road plating, 24/7 traffic flagging, traffic control, trucking/hauling, and hard surface restoration. Finally, potholing for utilities support services costs increased by approximately 30% when the running line shifted.
- 3/ Due to contamination encountered during construction, work could not be completed at this time.
- 4/ Permit fees were far beyond the budget amount and caused a significant shift in total project costs.
- 5/ This Belt Line work is associated with the FL21 work in Davis County. During installation it is preferable to have the 24" HP put in place prior to the 8" IHP line. Due to the complications experienced with FL21, Belt line experienced similar delays and cost escalations.
- \* The previous budget had FL34 listed in error. It should have been FL35.